Method Composition through Operator Pattern Identification

Maurício Cecílio Magnaguagno, Felipe Meneguzzi School of Computer Science (FACIN) Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS) Porto Alegre - RS, Brazil mauricio.magnaguagno@acad.pucrs.br, felipe.meneguzzi@pucrs.br

Introduction

• Classical planning description (PDDL)

- Easier to describe
- Harder to solve

• Hierarchical task networks (HTN) and macros

- Harder to describe
- Save time by focusing on certain actions/primitives
- We can start with PDDL and eventually jump to HTN

Introduction - Motivation

- Steps to convert classical domains to a Hierarchical Task Network (HTN):
 - Cluster operators into methods.
 - Convert goals into tasks that use such methods.
 - Repeat this process for every domain...
 - Notice sub-problems share method construction.
 - Modify old method to match new domain.
- Repetitive process for a human
- Can we automate such process?

Background

Classical Planning

- Initial state
- Goal state
- Use actions/operators
- Optimality is search/heuristic dependent
- Anarchical/flat description
 - Easier to make/maintain
 - Harder to solve

Hierarchical Planning

- Initial state
- Task list
- Use operators and methods
- Optimality is description dependent
- Hierarchical description
 - Harder to make/maintain
 - Easier to solve

Classical Planning - PDDL

(define (domain dependency)
 (:requirements :strips :typing :negative-preconditions)
 (:predicates (have ?a ?x) (got_money ?a) (happy ?a))



(:action work :parameters (?a - agent) :precondition (not (got_money ?a)) :effect (and (not (happy ?a)) (got_money ?a)))

(:action buy

:parameters (?a - agent ?x - object)
:precondition (and (got_money ?a) (not (have ?a ?x)))
:effect (and (not (got_money ?a)) (have ?a ?x)))

(:action give

:parameters (?a ?b - agent ?x - object)
:precondition (and (have ?a ?x) (not (have ?b ?x)))
:effect (and (not (have ?a ?x)) (have ?b ?x) (happy ?b))))

(define (problem pb1)
 (:domain dependency)
 (:objects
 ana bob - agent
 gift - object
)
 (:init
 (got_money bob)
)
 (:goal
 (happy bob)
)
)

5

HTN - JSHOP

```
(defdomain dependency (
 (:operator (!work ?a)
      ((agent ?a) (not (got_money ?a))))
      ((happy ?a))
      ((got_money ?a))
)
...
(:method (work_to_buy_to_give_gift_to ?a)
      do-nothing
      ((object ?gift) (have ?a ?gift) (happy ?a))
      ()
```

. . .

```
somebody-have-gift
((object ?gift) (not (have ?a ?gift)) (agent ?b) (have ?b ?gift))
((!give ?b ?a ?gift))
```

```
got-money
((object ?gift) (not (have ?a ?gift)) (agent ?b) (got_money ?b))
((!buy ?b ?gift) (!give ?b ?a ?gift))
```

```
(defproblem pb1 dependency
(;init
  (agent ana)
  (agent bob)
  (object gift)
  (got_money bob)
)
  (;tasks
  (work_to_buy_to_give_gift_to bob)
)
)
```

Domain Knowledge Construction



- Identifies subproblems based on PDDL operators only
- Requires no annotations
- Requires no examples (plan traces)

Classify Predicates

Algorithm 3 Classification of predicates into irrelevant, constant or mutable		
1:	function CLASSIFY_PREDICATES(predicates, operators)	
2:	predicate_types ← Table	
3:	$eff \leftarrow EFFECTS(operators)$	
4:	$pre \leftarrow PRECONDITIONS(operators)$	
5:	for each $p \in predicates$ do	
6:	if $p \in eff$	
7:	predicate_types[p] \leftarrow mutable	
8:	else if $p \in \text{pre}$	
9:	predicate_types[p] \leftarrow constant	
10:	else	
11:	predicate_types[p] \leftarrow irrelevant	
12:	return predicate_types	

We partition to understand what is dynamic or static in the domain.

Identifying Operator Patterns

• Swap operator pattern

Zero or more actions swap the value of a predicate to satisfy certain condition

• Dependency operator pattern

 Action/method precondition is satisfied by the effects of another action/method

- Free variable operator pattern
 - Action/method have free variable(s) to be decided at run-time

Swap Operator Pattern

Preconditions	Effects
(connected ?location1 ?location2)*	
(at ?agent ?location1)	(not (at ?agent ?location1))
(not (at ?agent ?location2))**	(at ?agent ?location2)

Preconditions	Effects
(trade ?item1 ?item2)*	
(have ?agent ?item1)	(not (have ?agent ?item1))
(not (have ?agent ?item2))**	(have ?agent ?item2)

*Constant predicate

**Optional precondition, mutually exclusive (can only be at one place at a time)

Swap Operator Pattern



Swap Operator Pattern

- Method
 - Base case
 - One recursive case for each operator that swaps the same predicate
- Cache

()

- Visit operator
- Unvisit operator

(:operator (!!visit_predicate ?object ?current)

```
( (visited_predicate ?object ?current) )
```

(:operator (!!unvisit_predicate ?object ?current)
 ()
 ((visited_predicate ?object ?current))
 ()

(:method (swap_predicate ?object ?goal)

```
base
( (predicate ?object ?goal) )
()
```

using_operator

(constraint ?current ?intermed)
(swap_predicate ?object ?current)
(not (predicate ?object ?goal))
(not (visited_predicate ?object ?intermed))

(!operator ?object ?current ?intermed)
(!!visit_predicate ?object ?current)
(swap_predicate ?object ?goal)
(!!unvisit_predicate ?object ?current)

Dependency Operator Pattern

Preconditions	Effects
(connected ?location1 ?location2)	
(at ?agent ?location1)	(not (at ?agent ?location1))
(not (at ?agent ?location2))	(at ?agent ?location2)

Preconditions	Effects
(at ?agent ?location)	
(dropped ?item ?location)	(not (dropped ?item ?location))
	(have ?agent ?item)

Dependency Operator Pattern



Dependency Operator Pattern

- Method
 - Goal satisfied
 - Satisfied
 - Unsatisfied

```
(:method (dependency_first_before_second ?param)
  goal_satisfied
  ( (goal_predicate) )
  ()
)
```

(:method (dependency_first_before_second ?param)
 satisfied
 ((predicate ?param))
 ((!second ?param))

(:method (dependency_first_before_second ?param) unsatisfied

((**not** (predicate ?param)))

((!first ?param)(!second ?param))

Dependency Injection



Dependency Injection



Free Variable Operator Pattern

- High-level pattern (beyond operators)
- Find value of variable at run-time
- Value is related to goals
 - (happy bob)
 - Who works?
 - What is the gift?
- We want to unify ?p3
- Discover value at run-time based on the preconditions of the original method

```
(:method (apply_op ?p1 ?p2 ?p3)
apply_op_with_3_parameters
((precond1 ?p1 ?p2) (precond2 ?p2 ?p3))
((!op ?p1 ?p2 ?p3))
```

```
(:method (unify_apply_op ?p1 ?p2)
unify_parameter_p3
((precond2 ?p2 ?p3))
((apply_op ?p1 ?p2 ?p3))
```

Composing methods and tasks

- <u>Classify operators</u>
- Add methods to domain
- Relate goals to operator effects
- Find methods that contain such operators (and maintain such effects)
- Replace variables of tasks using goal state predicates
- Ground tasks or create a free-variable methods and tasks to ground at run-time
- Add tasks to task list

Use Case: Rescue Robot Domain

- Operators
 - Enter
 - Exit
 - Move
 - Report



This domain was created by Kartik Talamadupula and Subbarao Kambhampati.

Use Case: Rescue Robot Domain

Preconditions	Effects	
(connected ?l1 ?l2)		Move
(hallway/room ?l1)		Enter
(hallway/room ?l2)		Exit
(at ?agent ?l1)	(not (at ?agent ?l1))	
(not (at ?agent ?I2))	(at ?agent ?l2)	

Preconditions	Effects	
(in ?l1 ?b)		Report
(at ?agent ?l1)		τοροιτ
(not (reported ?agent ?b))	(reported ?agent ?b)	

Use Case: Rescue Robot Domain

- Patterns
 - Swaps:
 - Enter
 - Exit
 - Move
 - Dependencies
 - Enter ⇒ Report
 - Exit ⇒ Report
 - Move ⇒ Report
- Methods
 - Swap_at = Enter|Exit|Move
 - Swap_at_before_Report = Swap_at ⇒ Report



Brute-force Fallback

• If no permutation of tasks obtain the goal state we fallback to a modified version of the method described in *Complexity Results for HTN planning*

We mark actions to avoid infinite loops (each action can be used N times)

In this transformation, the HTN representation uses the same constants and predicates used in the STRIPS representation. For each STRIPS operator o, we declare a primitive task f with the same effects and preconditions as o. We also use a dummy primitive task f_d with no effects or preconditions. We declare a single compound task symbol t. For each primitive task f, we construct a method of the form

$$perform[t] \implies do[f] \longrightarrow perform[t]$$

We declare one last method $perform[t] \Rightarrow do[f_d]$. Note that t can be expanded to any sequence of actions ending with f_d , provided that the preconditions of each action are satisfied. The input task network has the form $[(n : perform[t]), (n, G_1) \land \ldots \land (n, G_m)]$ where G_1, \ldots, G_m are the STRIPS-style goals we want to achieve.

Experimentation - Rescue Robot Robby

Robby Problem	Classical planner	HTN Brute force	HTN Patterns + Brute force
pb1	0.000	0.008	0.021
pb2	0.000	2.594	0.025
pb3	0.001	Time-out (> 100s)	0.072
pb4	0.000	4.399	0.031
pb5	0.001	20.812	0.062
pb6	0.000	Time-out (> 100s)	0.046

Experimentation - Goldminers

Goldminers	Classical planner	HTN Brute force	HTN Patterns + Brute force
pb1	Time-out (> 100s)	Time-out (> 100s)	6.270
pb2	Time-out (> 100s)	Time-out (> 100s)	3.668

- Obstacle
- Deposit
- Gold
- Agent





Experimentation - Almost

- Domains from IPC 2014
- ChildSnack
 - Fails to see where to start decomposing: moving tray to the kitchen
- FloorTile
 - Fails to see when to use paint-up: first row
- Grid
 - Fails to see multiple journeys are required to reach goal position: multiple keys





Conclusions and Future Work

- It is possible to automatically obtain an HTN description from a classic description without examples/annotations
 - At least for some domains
- May be used to increase domain knowledge on systems that can achieve speed-up when such knowledge is available
- Erol et al. brute-force conversion
- Lotinac and Jonsson, invariance analysis
- Shivashankar et al. GoDeL

- Improve the efficiency and quality of the resulting HTN domain knowledge
- Selectively choose methods for decompositions rather than performing blind search